



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/632,190

07/30/2003

Muthu Senthil

021756-063100US

3952

51206

7590

12/10/2008

TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW LLP

TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER

8TH FLOOR

SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834

EXAMINER

PANNALA, SATHYANARAYA R

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2164

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

12/10/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/632,190

**Applicant(s)**

SENTHIL, MUTHU

**Examiner**

Sathyanarayan Pannala

**Art Unit**

2164

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Sathyanarayan Pannala.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Aaron Kamlay, Reg. No. 58,813.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 03 December 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 21.

Identification of prior art discussed: Kilpatrick et al. (US Patent 6,742,124), Chan et al. (US Patent 6,697,844) and Duffey (USPA Pub. US 20040243501 A1).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant explained briefly the current invention. Discussed the proposed amendment claims 1 and 21 with respect to prior art on record and expressed confidence that the proposed amendment will overcome the prior art. Discussed 35 U.S.C. 101 rejection and Examiner suggested how to overcome the rejection. No agreement has been reached.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Sathyanarayan Pannala/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2164